

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 10/576,480 | 04/21/2006 | Akira Inoue | MAT-8841US | 6078 |
| 52473 RATNERPRE | 7590 08/13/200 STIA | 9 | EXAMINER | |
| P.O. BOX 980 | | | BERTHEAUD, PETER JOHN | |
| VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482 ARTUNIT F | | | | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3746 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 08/13/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/576,480
 INOUE ET AL.

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 PETER J. BERTHEAUD
 3746

| | PETER J. BERTHEAUD | 3746 | | | | | |
|--|--|----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO | personnel): | | | | | | |
| (1) <u>PETER J. BERTHEAUD</u> . | (3)Andrew Koopman. | | | | | | |
| (2) <u>Larry Ashery</u> . | (4) | | | | | | |
| Date of Interview: 11 August 2009. | | | | | | | |
| Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2 | 2) applicant's representative | e] | | | | | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: | e)⊠ No. | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 3</u> . | | | | | | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: Harper 5,496,156, Lee | <u>5,288,212</u> . | | | | | | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. |) was not reached. h) № N | I/A. | | | | | |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner and Applicant's representatives discussed the claim language of claims 1 and 3 and how the prior at its presently applied. Applicant's representatives that they agreed to amend claim 1 to more narrowly describe the intake port and how it's related to the gas catcher, so as to distinguish over the prior art. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable, if available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE. OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. | | | | | | | |
| /Peter J Bertheaud/ Examiner, Art Unit 3746 | /Devon C Kramer/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art U | nit 3746 | | | | | |